

2018 TITLE II REPORTS

National Teacher Preparation Data



(https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html)

No



Institution Information	
ADDRESS	
New Mexico State University	
Box 30001 MSC 3AC	
CITY	
Las Cruces	
STATE	
New Mexico	
ZIP	
88003	
SALUTATION	
Dr.	
FIRST NAME	
Rachel	
LAST NAME	
Boren	
PHONE	
(575) 646-6477	
EMAIL	
rboren@nmsu.edu	

If yes, provide the following:
AWARD YEAR
GRANTEE NAME
PROJECT NAME
GRANT NUMBER
LIST PARTNER DISTRICTS/LEAS (ONE PER LINE)
LIST OTHER PARTNERS (ONE PER LINE)
PROJECT TYPE
Residency
Pre-baccalaureate Path Posidonay and Pre-baccalaureate
Both Residency and Pre-baccalaureate

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

List of Programs

On this page, review the list of teacher preparation programs offered by your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this list of programs is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the programs in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, list all traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, list all alternative programs within the IHE. You may edit, delete, and insert new rows as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page. The system will automatically total the number of programs for you.

'LIIC	DA.	$\cap E$	INCL	חוו	EC.

>> Program Information

Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
ECED	No	
EED	No	
SED	No	
SPED	No	

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 4

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Program Requirements

On this page, review and enter information about the program requirements for admission into the program, program completion, and supervised clinical experience. If you submitted an IPRC last year, much of this page is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to specify the requirements in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide the requirements for traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide the requirements for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Admissions
- >> Undergraduate Requirements
- >> Postgraduate Requirements
- >> Supervised Clinical Experience

Admissions

Junior year

1. Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

▼

If Other, please specify:

- 2. Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?
 - Yes
 - No
- 3. Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

https://education.nmsu.edu/student/tep/tep-admit/

4. Please provide any additional information about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

During the semester in which students submit their Teacher Education Program admission portfolio for review by faculty, some are enrolled in prerequisite classes and are therefore conditionally admitted. The students must successfully complete the course in order to continue into the teacher education program.

Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

- 1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?
 - Yes
 No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	• Yes No	Yes
Fingerprint check	• Yes No	Yes No
Background check	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	• Yes No	Yes No
Recommendation(s)	• Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	Yes No	Yes No
Interview	Yes No	Yes No
Other Specify: Application, experience in a classroom or working w/ children, resume, communit	• Yes No	Yes No
What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if above.)	you indicated that a minimum Gi	PA is not required in the table
3		
3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year	ar 2016-17?	
3.5		
4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you above.)	ı indicated that a minimum GPA i	s not required in the table
3		
5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2	2016-17?	
3.5		
6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:		

Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

• Yes No		
If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or postgraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or <u>clear responses alre</u>		
Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	• Yes No	• Yes No
Fingerprint check	• Yes No	Yes No
Background check	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	• Yes No	Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	Yes No
Recommendation(s)	• Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	• Yes No	Yes No
Interview	Yes No	Yes No
Other Specify: Successful completion of Comprehensive Exam, Student Teaching, B or better in	• Yes No	• Yes No
2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank i above.)	f you indicated that a minimum (SPA is not required in the table
. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic ye	ear 2016-17?	
4		
. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if yo above.)	ou indicated that a minimum GPA	is not required in the table
3		
. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year	2016-17?	
4		
5. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:		

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2016-17. (§205(a)(1)(C)(iii), §205(a)(1)(C)(iv))

Additional guidance on reporting supervised clinical experience and nonclinical coursework.

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	255
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	560
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	14
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	112
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	201

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Enrollment

On this page, enter the number of candidates for an initial teaching credential who are enrolled in the initial teacher preparation programs within your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. **Do not** report on the total number of students enrolled in the entire IHE. **Do not** include individuals who currently hold a teaching credential and are seeking additional licenses or endorsements, or individuals preparing for school-based careers other than classroom teachers (e.g., administrators, guidance counselors).

The Department recognizes that in many cases, candidates voluntarily report their race/ethnicity and gender data, and that in some cases, candidates may choose not to report this information. Please report on the race/ethnicity data you have available, though the data may not be complete. It is not expected that the sum of the enrolled students reported by race/ethnicity or by gender will necessarily equal the total number of students enrolled.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the candidates enrolled in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the candidates enrolled in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the candidates enrolled in the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the enrollment data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:	
>> Enrollment	

Enrollment

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.

Total number of students enrolled in 2016-17	338
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2016-17	104
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2016-17	234

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled. (§205(a)(1)(C)(ii)(H))

2016-17	Number Enrolled
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino of any race	175
Race	

2016-17	Number Enrolled
American Indian or Alaska Native	6
Asian	8
Black or African American	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0
White	138
Two or more races	5

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Teachers Prepared by Subject Area
- >> Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by subject area.

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	12
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	26
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	43
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	23
13.1206	Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	8
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	39
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	2
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	8
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	8
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	6
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	12
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	24
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	24
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education—Chemistry" category.

Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by academic major.

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	6
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	12
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	26
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	43
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	23
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	8

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	2
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	8
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.03	Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
13.09	Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
42	Psychology	
45.01	Social Sciences	
45.02	Anthropology	
45.06	Economics	
45.07	Geography and Cartography	
45.10	Political Science and Government	
45.11	Sociology	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	
54	History	
16	Foreign Languages	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
23	English Language/Literature	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
01	Agriculture	
09	Communication or Journalism	
14	Engineering	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
26	Biology	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
40.01	Physical Sciences	
40.02	Astronomy and Astrophysics	
40.04	Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
40.05	Chemistry	
40.06	Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
40.08	Physics	
52	Business/Business Administration/Accounting	
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
99	Other Specify:	

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Program Completers

On this page, enter the total number of individuals who completed the program in AY 2016-17 and the two prior academic years. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the number of program completers for the two prior academic years are pre-loaded from your prior year's report.

A program completer is a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, the fact that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for initial certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer.

An individual cannot be classified as both enrolled and as a program completer at the same time. An enrolled individual is not a program completer. Once an individual has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program and becomes a program completer, the individual is no longer classified as enrolled.

After entering the program completers, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES: >>> Program Completers

Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years.

2016-17	110
2015-16	162
2014-15	119

Annual Goals

On this page, review the annual goals in each subject area listed below. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the goals you entered last year are pre-loaded from your prior year's report. Please respond to the questions to report on progress towards the goals, and set new goals for the next academic year.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Annual Goals Mathematics
- >> Annual Goals Science
- >> Annual Goals Special Education
- >> Annual Goals Instruction of Limited English
 Proficient Students
- >> Assurances

Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

- 1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2016-17?
 - Yes
 - No (leave remaining questions for year blank)
- 2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17?

5

- 3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2016-17?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Not applicable
- 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Building relationships with schools and with our new efforts to create a STEM school have helped serve as a catalyst for recruiting more teachers into the STEM fields.

- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We are in the process of expanding on the STEM program outreach and making STEM an emphasis in our upcoming restructuring of the College under

our new Dean and Associate Dean.

Academic year 2017-18

- 7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?
 - Yes

No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

10

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The goal of ten prospective teachers applies to STEM fields in general.

Academic year 2018-19

- 10. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?
 - Yes

No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2018-19?

8

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

This seems like a feasible but ambitious goal we will revisit next year.

Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

- 1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2016-17?
 - Yes

No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2016-17?

	5
3.	Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2016-17?
	Yes No Not applicable
4.	Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
5.	Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
	Building relationships with schools and with our new efforts to create a STEM school have helped serve as a catalyst for recruiting more teachers into the STEM fields. We fell just short of our science goals by one teacher.
6.	Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
	We are actively working to expand our STEM program outreach and are making STEM an emphasis in our upcoming restructuring of the College under our new Dean and Associate Dean.
A	cademic year 2017-18
7.	Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2017-18?
	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) No (leave remaining questions for year blank)
	No (leave remaining questions for year blank)
8.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?
8.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?
8.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18? 10 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
9.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18? 10 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
8. 9.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18? 10 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: The ten teachers reflected in the goal above are for STEM in general.
8. 9.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18? 10 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: The ten teachers reflected in the goal above are for STEM in general.
8. 9.	No (leave remaining questions for year blank) How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18? 10 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: The ten teachers reflected in the goal above are for STEM in general. cademic year 2018-19 0. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19? Yes

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:We will revisit this goal as we examine our targets and progress next year.

Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

(<u>9205(a)(1)(A)(II), 9206(a))</u>
Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html .
Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.
Academic year 2016-17
1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2016-17? Yes No (leave remaining questions for year blank)
2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?
20
3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2016-17? Yes No Not applicable
4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
The goal of 20 teachers was ambitious but we are revisiting our approaches and recruitment procedures and hope that with our STEM efforts, we can recruit for other other high needs areas as well.
6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
Academic year 2017-18
7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2017-18?

No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

15

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19 10. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19? No (leave remaining questions for year blank) 11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2018-19? 12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: We will revisit this goal over the course of next year. **Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students** Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a)) Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html. Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years. Academic year 2016-17 1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17? No (leave remaining questions for year blank) 2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17? 15 3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17? Yes No Not applicable 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable: We have an excellent bilingual education/TESOL program and work this into our elementary education program requirements. 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We are also in the process of restructuring of the College under our new Dean and Associate Dean to emphasize our outreach to ELLs. Academic year 2017-18 7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18? Yes No (leave remaining questions for year blank) 8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18? 15 9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: Academic year 2018-19 10. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19? No (leave remaining questions for year blank) 11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19? 15 12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: We will revisit this goal over the course of next year. **Assurances** Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances. 1. Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends. Yes No 2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom. Yes No 3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects. Yes

Program does not prepare special education teachers

7.	Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.
	Yes No
8.	Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:
	We have four site-based teacher education programs. The teacher candidates and faculty meet on location (3 elementary schools, one early childhood lab school) and work in the classrooms throughout the week. Methods are taught in the schools and the practicing teachers assist with supervision and training of teacher candidates. In this real life practicum, the future teachers work with all children including limited English proficient, low income and those with disabilities.

 ${\bf 4.\ Prospective\ general\ education\ teachers\ are\ prepared\ to\ provide\ instruction\ to\ students\ with\ disabilities.}$

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

• Yes

• Yes No

• Yes No

Assessment Pass Rates

On this page, review the assessment pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
001 -BASIC SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	63	265	62	98
001 -BASIC SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	75	267	75	100
001 -BASIC SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	124	266	123	99
001 -BASIC SKILLS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	75	266	75	100
011 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
011 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
011 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	28	264	27	96
011 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	35	260	35	100
027 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			
016 -HIST GEOG ECON CIVICS GOVT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
016 -HIST GEOG ECON CIVICS GOVT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
016 -HIST GEOG ECON CIVICS GOVT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
016 -HIST GEOG ECON CIVICS GOVT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			
012 -LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	9			
012 -LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	4			
014 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
014 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			
021 -MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
021 -MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
021 -MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	8			
021 -MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	8			
NT051 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT051 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	13	248	13	100
NT051 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	41	246	41	100
NT051.1 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT051.1 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	21	252	21	100
NT051.1 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: ELEM1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16				
NT052 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: SEC. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
NT052 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: SEC. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17		251	31	94
NT052 -NES ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: SEC. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	40	243	38	95
NT102 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	19	232	19	100
NT102 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	40	237	38	95
NT102 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION I Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	30	239	26	87
NT102.1 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION I.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	23	239	19	83
NT102.1 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION I.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
NT103 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	14	243	14	100
NT103 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	40	238	37	93
NT103 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION II Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	30	239	26	87
NT103.1 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION II.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	28	243	25	89
NT103.1 -NES ELEMENTARY EDUCATION II.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT301 -NES ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT301 -NES ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			
NT301 -NES ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
NT301.1 -NES ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
NT507 -NES ENGLISH TO SPEAKERS OF OTHER LANGUAGES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
NT001 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS I: READING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	85	250	84	99
NT001 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS I: READING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	31	252	30	97
NT001 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS I: READING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	22	251	19	86
NT002 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS II: WRITING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	84	235	76	90
NT002 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS II: WRITING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	31	240	29	94
NT002 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS II: WRITING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	22	225	17	77
NT003 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS III:MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	85	246	80	94
NT003 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS III:MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	31	250	29	94
NT003 -NES ESSENTIAL ACADEMIC SKILLS III:MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	22	237	19	86
NT104 -NES ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF ELEM.READING INSTRUCT. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT104 -NES ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF ELEM.READING INSTRUCT. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	34	240	33	97
NT104 -NES ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS OF ELEM.READING INSTRUCT. Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16		232	22	81
NT310 -NES FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16				
NT311 -NES GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
NT311 -NES GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
NT311 -NES GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
NT304 -NES MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
NT304 -NES MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
NT304 -NES MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	2			
NT504 -NES MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT504 -NES MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
NT504 -NES MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
NT504.1 -NES MUSIC.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6			
NT506 -NES PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
NT506 -NES PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NT506 -NES PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			
NT303 -NES SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
NT303 -NES SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	3			
NT303 -NES SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
NT601 -NES SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
NT601 -NES SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	12	238	12	100
NT601 -NES SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			
NT601.1 -NES SPECIAL EDUCATION.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	9			
NT601.1 -NES SPECIAL EDUCATION.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
029 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
029 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
029 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	3			
015 -SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
015 -SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
015 -SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
020 -SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
020 -SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	2			
032 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	10	268	10	100
032 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	8			
032 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	7			
005 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (EARLY CHILDHOOD) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	24	249	22	92
005 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (EARLY CHILDHOOD) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	27	252	24	89
005 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (EARLY CHILDHOOD) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			
003 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (ELEMENTARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	10	266	10	100
003 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (ELEMENTARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
003 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (ELEMENTARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	14	260	13	93
003 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (ELEMENTARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	34	260	32	94
004 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (SECONDARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6			
004 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (SECONDARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
004 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (SECONDARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	12	261	12	100

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number	Avg.	Number	Pass
	taking	scaled	passing	rate
	tests	score	tests	(%)
004 -TEACHER COMPETENCY (SECONDARY) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	13	257	12	92

SECTION III: PROGRAM PASS RATES

Summary Pass Rates

On this page, review the summary pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Summary Pass Rates

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2016-17	106	97	92
All program completers, 2015-16	148	126	85
All program completers, 2014-15	76	72	95

SECTION IV: LOW-PERFORMING

Low-Performing

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's approval/accreditation and whether your program has been designated as low performing by the state. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

-Performi	ing		

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teach	ner preparation program. <u>(§205(a)(1)(D),</u>	§205(a)(1)(E))
---	---	----------------

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?
--

Yes

No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

✓ State

✓ NCATE

TEAC

CAEP

Other specify:

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

Ye

No

SECTION V: USE OF TECHNOLOGY

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

TH	IS PAGE INCLUDES:	
>>	Use of Technology	

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(F))

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction
 - Ye
 - No
- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning
 - Ye
 - No
- 2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

Our teacher education program integrates (or is working to integrate) the following innovative technology instruction into our courses: 1) Working with teacher candidates to implement: a) class norms and expectations in the use of technology, b) community building in the area of technology, c) introduction to TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge), and d) starting their Teacher Toolkits with Google and Google Classroom. (Note: this has been implemented already) 2) Integrating the new STEM Tech Center at NMSU that houses flight simulators, VR goggles, and a computer lab with a mission-focused curriculum. (Note: this has been implemented already) 3) Introducing the concepts of Digital Citizenship, Ethics, Cyberbullying – including: a) interactive slideshare about the topic and b) research about personal learning network (PLN) development. (Note: this has been implemented already) 4) For integrating the new media concepts of Social Media and PLN Development, we have utilized: a) team activities with social media and b) developing and reflecting on PLN. (Note: this has been implemented already and the students really took to it, see Twitter search and #EDLT368) 5) We are reinvigorating how we train teachers to use games and apps, particularly by having our teacher candidates: a) play and deconstruct games and apps for education, b) research pedagogical perspectives of Game-Based Learning, gamification, and gameful learning, and c) wireframe (design) Marvel games. (Note: we are working on this for next semester, with one of our doctoral students whose focus is games in education taking a key role as we finalize our plans) 6) We are also well aware the coding is an important area of technology and that students are now introduced to this skill at a very young age. We are looking into tools like the MIT created Scratch and Alice, a digital storytelling platform. (Note: this is planned but

has not been formally implemented yet) 7) Integrating another important new technology, maker labs, has also been a part of our revised technology training for teacher candidates. In particular, use of Cyber/Maker Learning - which includes Discovery Labs for cyberlearning and makerspaces such as circuits, robotics, 3D printing, basic coding, and more STEM Tech Center, are being used when we revisit previous concepts that have been covered in addition to new content. We are working with the teacher candidates to demonstrate how to use the Makerspace in the delivery of the school curriculum and will also use a project based approach to this delivery. (Note: this has been implemented) 8) Using podcasting and movies for learning are also being planned for upcoming semesters. This includes the analysis and creation of podcasts as a midpoint assessment and making short movies. (Note: we are planning to podcast but won't get to short movies immediately, though we did use Flipgrid with the Makerspaces module which is a video discussion board) 9) We are also creating a Knowledge Center, which is in the early works but will be a module with co-created activities and resources for topics such as classroom leadership, i.e. Bellwork, class newsletters, contracts, technology evaluations, etc. (Note: this has been mostly implemented) 10) As we implement these new strategies, we will also have our teacher candidates: 1) discuss and reflect on their experiences, and 2) design individualized curriculum materials to add to their Google Classrooms per recommended strategies from Tondeur, et al. (2017). Technology standards from InTASC are also integrated into courses and assignments in all programs, including key assessments during practicum and student teaching, although these do need to be made more explicit in the assessments (particularly the Evidence of Student Learning and DULCE) as they are often folded into scoring areas with other criteria outside of technology integration/utilization specifically. We also have several as

SECTION VI: TEACHER TRAINING

Teacher Training

On this page, review the questions about how your program trains general education teachers and special education teachers. For the purposes of these questions, general education teachers means those who are not specifically prepared as special education teachers. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

TH	IS PAGE INCLUDES:
>>	Teacher Training

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(G))

- 1. Does your program prepare general education teachers to:
 - a. teach students with disabilities effectively
 - Yes
 - No
 - b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams
 - Yes
 - No
 - c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively
 - Ye
 - No
- 2. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

The courses identified below provide training for pre-service teachers who will be participating on the Individualized Education Program teams as teachers. SPED 350/500 has a large section of its course instruction on IDEA, its history, and requirements. This includes the complete IEP process involving team membership, their roles, goals, objectives, and more. In SPED 360/523 pre-service teachers are taught the accommodations that should be made for students with special needs. An example is the site-based teacher education program Movemos which is located at a local public school. In Movemos, teacher candidates are provided real-life experiences in the inclusive classroom. General education students take SPED 458/558 as an elective. In this course students are required to prepare and participate in mock IEP meetings where they prepare to play different roles of team members based on scenarios provided by the professor. Regarding training general education pre-service teachers to effectively teach students who have limited English proficiency and who are also placed in special education, SPED 568 and SPED 565 were designed with that purpose in mind. SPED 350/500 Introduction to Special Education in a Diverse Society SPED 360/325 Curriculum for Diverse Exceptional Learners SPED 458/558 Intellectual Disabilities in a Diverse Society SPED 568 Bilingual Special Ed. and ESL Methods for Monolingual Teachers Working with SPED Students SPED 565 Socio-Cultural Perspectives Bilingual/Multicultural SPED All programs require candidates to take SPED 350 listed above, Introduction to Special Education in a Diverse Society, which has a learning objective that centers on applying knowledge of cultural, social, environmental, linguistic, and familial context to promote academic success for individuals with disabilities. There are corresponding assignments and readings that cover culturally sensitive pedagogy and gaining an understanding of individual student needs and circumstances and how to effectively meet the needs of a highly diverse set of students. In terms of limited English proficient students, the Early Childhood Education, Elementary Education, and Secondary Education programs require EDUC 315, Multicultural Education, which addresses community, equity and diversity and have the following course goals: • Understand the competing definitions, conceptions, and trends of Multicultural Education. • Examine the meaning (including causes and effects) of racism, sexism, and other forms of bias and explore one's own personal response to these forms of bias. • Evaluate the multiple models of multicultural

education and their resulting implications for curriculum, instruction and educational policy. • Comprehend the influence on learning of such social identities as race, class, sexual orientation, language, and gender and to understand how discrimination based on these factors translates into school structures, policies and practices that perpetuate inequity. • Recognize the structural and discursive (language) forces that hamper particular students' educational attainment and reinforce different opportunities within educational institutions. • Develop sound philosophical rationale for multicultural education and critically examine the role of multicultural education in school reform and social change. • Conceptualize, creatively and reflectively, a teaching perspective and school level strategies targeted towards a more just and equitable education. Specific to Early Childhood Education, there are two required classes in working with diverse families and communities and this area has been identified as a strength in the teacher candidate student teaching evaluations. First, candidates are required to take ECED 135, Family and Community Collaboration, with assignments and specific competencies designed to provide current and future practitioners in various early childhood programs, schools and community settings with opportunities to 1) reflect critically on social, cultural and historical factors and policy contexts that affect "diverse" families and their children; 2) learn content knowledge, skills and dispositions necessary for developing a vision for successful, collaborative home school relationships; and 3) be able to identify, analyze, and evaluate various resources and programs available in communities for "diverse" families. The second family and community specific course is ECED 335, Family, Language, and Culture. This advanced course has assignments and specific competencies that prepare candidates for working effectively as partners with family and community members to facilitate the development and learning of young children. The complexity and dynamics of families as systems will be included and community resources to support families are also discussed. For Elementary Education, beginning in Fall 2018, all candidates in the program will be required to take Bilingual Ed/TESOL courses to get prepared to work with English learners and families. The goal is that all elementary education students graduating from NMSU will have a Bilingual/TESOL endorsement, which will better prepare all teacher candidates to meet and understand the needs of all students, particularly English Language Learners. Additionally, courses in the Elementary Education blocks address how teachers can learn about and meet the needs of students and their communities, including: (1) EDUC 342, Sheltered English Instruction for ESL Classrooms, which focuses on approaches and strategies which support the acquisition of English by speakers of other languages while learning in the content areas, critical multicultural frameworks for scaffolding language learning in the classroom, and creative pedagogical strategies with attention to language, culture, power and identity; (2) EDUC 343, Language, Literacy, and Culture, which provides candidates with the opportunity to acquire knowledge in socio-cultural perspective of literacy development, introduces candidates to teaching methods, instructional strategies and assessment practices which they can utilize to effectively teach linguistically and culturally diverse students, and provides candidates with theoretical and analytical tools to examine how and to what degree classroom practices support and/or hinder the literacy development of English language learners; and (3) EDUC 483, Second Language Acquisition, where candidates obtain an overview of the affective, cultural, linguistic, and cognitive factors that influence the second language acquisition process with application to classroom practice and contributes to the preparation of pre-service teachers who are knowledgeable about the developmental process and stages of second language acquisition and can, in turn, effectively facilitate learning for linguistically and culturally diverse learners in the public school system. Secondary Education has been thoughtful about accessing this topic through placement in Gadsden Independent School District for EDUC 475. This is an area that Secondary leadership feels needs additional training for mentors and supervisors, and faculty also need to address a gap in this topic from early field to student teaching experience. Secondary Education also requires EDUC 342, Sheltered English Instruction for ESL Classrooms, which focuses on approaches and strategies which support the acquisition of English by speakers of other languages while learning in the content areas, critical multicultural frameworks for scaffolding language learning in the classroom, and creative pedagogical strategies with attention to language, culture, power and identity. For Special Education, candidates take many of the same courses as the Elementary and Secondary candidates, and consequently experience the same requirements for assignments and courses in these areas. Special Education also follows the Council for Exceptional Children standards, which emphasize the need for candidates to understand specific needs of students and how their backgrounds influence educational and developmental needs

2	Dogs vour program	nronaro	enocial	aducation	toachore	to:

a.	teach	students	with	disabilities	effectively

Yes

No

Program does not prepare special education teachers

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

Yes

No

Program does not prepare special education teachers

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

Yes

No

Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of

the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

See above. The special education licensure is a dual-licensure program such that students receive both elementary education and special education licensure and complete all required practica and field experience specific to each licensure area.

SECTION VII: CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES: >> Contextual Information

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

Nonresident Alien (1) and Not reported/Unknown (13) counts are not included in the ethnicity table (in Section I.c Enrollment)

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Enrollment Confirmation

Total Title II enrollment from Section I: Program Information, Enrollment is 338.

Number of program completers from Section I: Program Information, Program Completers is 110.

For a total enrollment of 448.

I certify the total enrollment shown above is correct.

Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the

| J | Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Rachel Boren

TITLE:

Assessment Program Manager

Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Rachel Boren

TITLE:

Assessment Program manager

Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Total Enrollment	275	338	22.91%
Male Enrollment	76	104	36.84%
Female Enrollment	199	234	17.59%
Hispanic/Latino Enrollment	165	175	6.06%
American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment	5	6	20.00%
Asian Enrollment	1	8	700.00%
Black or African American Enrollment	11	3	-72.73%

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment	0	0	
White Enrollment	83	138	66.27%
Two or more races Enrollment	6	5	-16.67%
Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	290	255	-12.07%
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	562.5	560	-0.44%
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring	0	0	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	9	14	55.56%
Number of adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	170	112	-34.12%
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	164	201	22.56%
Total completers for current academic year	162	110	-32.10%
Total completers for prior academic year	119	162	36.13%
Total completers for second prior academic year	184	119	-35.33%